1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 ANTHONY RUSSO, et al., 4 Plaintiffs, Case No.: 2:17-cv-00805-GMN-VCF VS. 5 ORDER CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, et 6 al., 7 Defendants. 8 9 Pending before the Court is the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Daniel M. Burgess' claims, 10 (ECF No. 9), filed by Defendants Clark County School District, Edward Goldman, James 11 Ketsaa, and Christopher Klemp (collectively "Defendants"). On September 25, 2017, the Court 12 granted the parties' stipulation to dismiss Burgess' claims with prejudice. (ECF No. 45). In 13 light of this stipulation, the Court denies Defendants' Motion as moot. 14 Also pending before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Anthony 15 Russo's due process claim. (ECF No. 10). After Defendants filed the Motion to Dismiss, Russo 16 properly filed an Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 49). "[A]n amended complaint supersedes 17 the original, the latter being treated thereafter as non-existent." Ramirez v. County of San 18 Bernardino, 806 F.3d 1002, 1008 (9th Cir. 2015). Here, Defendants' Motion pertains to the 19 original complaint rather than the operative amended complaint. Accordingly, the Court denies 20 Defendants' Motion as moot. 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24

///

25

1	I. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motions to Dismiss, (ECF Nos. 9, 10)
3	are DENIED as moot.
4	DATED this4_ day of January, 2018.
5	
6	March 1
7 8	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25